

Iran Vs US: Between War and Peace

written by Hasin Abdullah



United States Military Operations (US) in the city of Baghdad, Iraq has killed the second important person in Iran as General of the Iranian Revolutionary Guard Corps. And he is General Qaseem Suleimani in the structure of leadership is second only to the Supreme Leader, Ayyatullah Khamenei. The US attack could be categorized as an act of terror or just political message over Donald Trump's obsession with power.

The geopolitical tension between the two countries between Iran and the US truly has the potential for World War III. Massive war between the two countries (Proxy War) began to open the door or a signal of war will occur after the raising of the red flag by Iran. This is only a small possibility. However, the act of revenge can happen, because it is an act of terror that leaves the victim.

In the historical-cultural context, Iran's tensions with the US have never had good relations since the 1979 revolution. From the previous revolution, the Iranian effort to take revenge was the most serious thing, because the death of Qaseem Soleimani left injuries in society. However, its political impact on global security.

In addition, reported by Sindonews (07/01/20) Hezbollah leader, Sayyed Hassan Nasrallah ordered its members to attack United States (US) troops in the region. This step is a form of taking a position and action to attack retaliation for the death of Qaseem Soleimani, because his death was planned by Donald Trump.

With this call for a counterattack, it shows that the US is no longer a key to global

peace, but rather an actor of violence. Violence or acts of terror are strictly prohibited by any country. Therefore, it becomes a threat to the security of global society.

Moreover, General Qaseem Soleimani has a big role as a hero in the ranks of the Iranian Revolutionary Guards. And the group has an important role in terms of maintaining the country's external security. Thus, the group is part of an elite force in order to maintain the security of the region around the Middle East. If there is a reaction from the Islamic community in various countries it is natural.

Crisis of Peace Ahead of the War

Geopolitically, the relations between the two countries have indeed experienced on going tensions. On the other hand, the impact of the escalation of the conflict between Iran and the United States could ignite the fire of division and war. That is, the politics of violence is massive at the expense of love, unity, brotherhood and humanity in many countries.

Especially with the intention of revenge that only triggers war and violence, so that attention to the peace center disappears without direction. In fact, this is an important note that must be carried out by the two countries in order to conduct diplomacy and reconciliation without any recurring conflicts that could cause many casualties.

In addition, the impact of its escalation on the global Islamic movement will react whether it is different or not an ally. This factor then makes the Iranian state which has advantages in terms of building a network compared to the United States, will most likely take revenge. Even war is possible even though there is no open war zone.

The root of this problem should not lead to war, because it destroys global security and peace that has long been established and intertwined with various countries. The issue of terror attacks carried out by US military operations without any problems shows that the country has a very high potential and area of violence.

The tension between these two countries at least does not close the peace room, but we need to note that the terror act must be punished because it has killed someone accompanied by planning. That is, the premeditated murder proved to

be an allegation of consenting to malicious intentions carried out by the US military operation.

US Inconsistency about Anti-Terrorism

The United States could be reached by a terrorist state for committing the murder of General Qaseem Soleimani as a hero in the Islamic state of Iran. On the other hand, the General is a symbol of anti-terrorism and radicalism in the Middle East. One of them is when securing the country from the slaughter of militant groups ISIS.

In political relations, this act of terror is contrary to the US commitment to fight radicalism and terrorism. Therefore, terrorism is an enemy of religion and the state which can cause death to the community, especially to security as a key to the safety of citizens who must be guaranteed and protected from terrorist violence.

Acts of terror committed by the US do not reflect the state's contra to the narrative of anti-radicalism and terrorism. For this reason, if indeed it has alignments for counter terrorism. Certainly not going to kill General Qaseem Soleimani as a person who is phenomenal and a symbol of the revival of the war against terrorism.

If judged far ahead, Donald Trump is the US President does not have good faith in combating radicalism and terrorism. Because lately, what has happened is only a new terrorism in the style of the United States that was previously known to be ready to eradicate the ISIS plot, and a network of terrorist groups in the Middle East. However, instead they took part in carrying out acts of terror.

In the end, the political effects of the two Iran-US state conflicts can certainly be used as important lessons for the Indonesian state not to be provoked into doing the same thing the US military did to General Qaseem Soleimani. Because Indonesia has long been declared a Pancasila country that loves humanity, justice and peace. Thus, this country has become the focus of attention for other countries not to act violently or commit acts of terrorism.

